# VIEW COMMENT

# COMMENT INFORMATION

#### **Document Section**

> Pre Submission South Downs Local Plan > People Connected to Places > Sustainable Transport > Strategic Policy SD20: Walking, Cycling and Equestrian Routes

#### Comment ID

660

# Respondent

Railfuture (Mr Roger Blake)

# Response Date

20 Nov 2017

Do you consider the document is Sound?

Yes

If no, Do you consider it is unsound because it is:

Do you consider the document complies with the legal / procedural requirements for preparing a Development Plan?

Yes

### Comment

0

What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document legally compliant or sound? It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Do you consider it necessary to participate at the Examination in Public?

Why do you feel it is necessary to participate at the Examination in Public?

Additional comments (These should relate to all matters relating to the Local Plan other than the test of soundness and legal compliance)

Railfuture welcomes Strategic Policy SD20 section 3a and notes that although no precise alignment has yet been specified, and there is no indication of when it might be, it may prove necessary to deviate slightly from the original railway route in the Hamsey area in order to avoid and minimise impact on existing properties and to secure the most appropriate alignment for the efficient operation of the future railway.

Railfuture also welcomes the opening declaration in paragraph 6.21 - Restoration of abandoned routes.

## **Attachments**